(3) CF 104

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO. 3164 / 2003

Petitioner : Zafar Ahmed Siddiqui S/o Late Ahmed Aziz Siddiqui, aged about 30 years, R/o Shankar Nagar, Tehsil Road, Champa, Dist : Janjgir Champa-(C.G.)

Versus

Respondents :

1. State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary Public Works Department, DKS Bhawan, Raipur-(C.G.)
2. The Engineer in Chief, Public Works Department, Raipur-(C.G.)
3. The Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Bilaspur.
4. The Collector Janjgir Champa, Dist : Janjgir Champa-(C.G.)

COPY
26/9/03
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 3164/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

15-11-2003

Shri P. Diwakar, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Ranbir Singh, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents.

Heard both the counsel..

The petitioner's petition is that he is son of late Shri Ahmed Aziz Siddique who was working as Sub Engineer in the Public Works Department and after putting 28 years of service, he died in the harness while in service on 13-9-99 while performing election duties. Copy of the death certificate of the petitioner's father and the document showing that his father died while performing the election duties are Annexures-P/1 and P/2. The petitioner applied for compassionate appointment and that was considered by the Sub Divisional Officer and the Executive Officer. They recommended the case of the petitioner but even after 3 years the petitioner has not been given any appointment order. The petitioner made several representations even to the Chief Minister, even then the petitioner has not been appointed. On 4-4-2002 the Chief Engineer, Bilaspur wrote a letter to Engineer-in-Chief stating that the case of the petitioner be sent back to his office so that he can do the needful in favour of the petitioner.

On 1-5-2003 another letter has been issued from the office of the Chief Minister for consideration of the case of the petitioner, but it is not being heard.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation before respondent No.1

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

and respondentNo.1 may be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner as early as possible to which the learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation within a period of thirty days from today raising all his grievances before respondent No.1 and in turn respondent No.1 shall decide the representation within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the representation in an objective manner and shall pass reasoned order.

Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.2890/2003 and I.A.No.9315/2003 also stand disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C.BHADOO
Judge

Barred

[पीछे देखिये